

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/591,009	06/09/00	SHUKLA		Α	
			. ¬	EX	AMINER
as most same and a same amount	H. H. 1275	IM52/1026			
ASHOK K. SH 10316 KINGS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TY MD 21042				4
•				1723 DATE MAILED:	,
•		\$. \$			10/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summers	Application No. Applicant(s) Shakla						
Office Action Summary	Examiner Art Unit 1723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	1						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM						
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	cation.						
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20	is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims / -20 are subject to restriction and/or election req							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) \mathbb{Z}' Claims $/-\infty 0$	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
16 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20} Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/591,009

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 13, 17, and 20, drawn to a pipette tip, classified in class 210, subclass 198.2.
- II. Claims 9-12, drawn to perforations, classified in class 210, subclass 348.
- III: Claims 14-16, drawn to a chromatographic material, classified in class 210, subclass 502.1.
- IV. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to a chromatographic method, classified in class 210, subclass 656.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as holes for a sieve or a filter and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/591,009

Art Unit: 1723

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a catalyst or biocatalyst for chemical or biochemical reactions and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed could be used as a chemical or biochemical reactor in a chemical or biochemical reaction process.

Inventions II and III are considered to be unrelated because perforations and chromatography material are unrelated concepts.

Application/Control Number: 09/591,009

Art Unit: 1723

Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed could be used as components of a chemical or biochemical reactor in a chemical or biochemical reaction process.

Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed could be used as components of a chemical or biochemical reactor in a chemical or biochemical reaction process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/591,009

Art Unit: 1723

Applicants may want to consider changing the first three word of claims 9-12 and the first five word of claims 14-17 to - - A pipette tip - - so that they are included in invention I, claims 1-8, 13, 17, and 20's invention of a "pipette tip".

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT/11 October 22, 2001

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the	items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
■ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	÷ .
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR	QUALITY
Π	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.